Click www.researchjournal.co.in/online/subdetail.html to purchase.

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PLANT PROTECTION VOLUME 9 | ISSUE 2 | OCTOBER, 2016 | 514-519

• e ISSN-0976-6855 | Visit us : www.researchjournal.co.in

RESEARCH PAPER

DOI: 10.15740/HAS/IJPP/9.2/514-519

Comparative efficacy of chemical and botanical pesticides against citrus leaf minor (*Phyllocnistis citrella* Stainton)

■ SATISH B. MANE*, SASYA NAGAR AND SOBITA SIMON

Department of Entomology, Sam Higginbottom Institute of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, ALLAHABAD (U.P.) INDIA

ARITCLE INFO

Received: 23.06.2016Revised: 28.08.2016Accepted: 12.09.2016

KEY WORDS:

Dimethoate, Abamectin, Spinosad, Acetamiprid, Imidacloprid, Botanical pesticides

ABSTRACT

The investigation was conducted with 8 Treatments:- Dimethoate 30 EC @ 0.03 per cent, Abamectin 1.8 EC @ 0.003 per cent, Spinosad 45 SC @ 0.03 per cent, Acetamiprid 20 SP @ 0.04 per cent, Imidacloprid 17.8 SL @ 0.005 per cent, *Neem* oil 2 per cent, NSKE 5 per cent and control (water spray) on citrus leaf minor. Such treatments were arranged in statistical design RBD with three replications. In overall, cumulative effect of 3 applications of all the treatments T_5 Abamectin 1.8 EC (0.003%) recorded lowest (7.66%) leaves infestation of leaf minor and found at par with T_2 Spinosad 45 SC (0.03%) *i.e.*8.42 per cent leaf infested. The next best effective treatments, T_4 Acetamiprid 20 SP (0.04%), T_3 Imidacloprid 17.8 SL (0.005%), T_1 Dimethoate 30 per cent (0.03%), T_6 *Neem* oil (2%) and T_7 NSKE (5%) with infestesion of 9.37 per cent, 10.46 per cent, 13.08 per cent, 14.63 per cent and 15.21 per cent, respectively and these treatments groups were at par with each other. Maximum infestation of leaf minor was noticed in control (water spray) is 24.75 per cent.

How to view point the article : Mane, Satish B., Nagar, Sasya and Simon, Sobita (2016). Comparative efficacy of chemical and botanical pesticides against citrus leaf minor (*Phyllocnistis citrella* Stainton). *Internat. J. Plant Protec.*, **9**(2): 514-519, **DOI : 10.15740/HAS/IJPP/9.2/514-519**.

*Corresponding author: